arXiv:1512.01351v1 [math.RA] 4 Dec 2015

CLASSICAL INVARIANT THEORY FOR FREE METABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

VESSELIN DRENSKY, ŞEHMUS FINDIK

ABSTRACT. Let KX_d be a vector space with basis $X_d = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$ over a field K of characteristic 0. One of the main topics of classical invariant theory is the study of the algebra of invariants $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$, where KX_d is a module of the special linear group $SL_2(K)$ isomorphic to a direct sum $V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$ and V_k is the $SL_2(K)$-module of binary forms of degree k. Noncommutative invariant theory deals with the algebra of invariants $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ of the group $G < GL_d(K)$ acting on the relatively free algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ of a variety of K-algebras $\mathfrak{V}$. In this paper we consider the free metabelian Lie algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ which is the relatively free algebra in the variety $\mathfrak{A}^2$ of metabelian (solvable of class 2) Lie algebras. We study the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ of $SL_2(K)$-invariants of $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$. We describe the cases when this algebra is finitely generated. This happens if and only if $KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$ or $KX_d \cong V_2$ as an $SL_2(K)$-module (and in the trivial case $KX_d \cong V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$). For small d we give a list of generators even when $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated. The methods for establishing that the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated work also for other relatively free algebras $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ and for other groups G.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most intensively studied objects in classical invariant theory is the algebra of invariants of the special linear group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ acting on binary forms. One of the possible noncommutative generalizations is the following. We fix a field K of characteristic 0 and a vector space KX_d with basis $X_d = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$, $d \geq 2$. We assume that KX_d is a module of the special linear group $SL_2(K)$ isomorphic to a direct sum $V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$, where V_k is the $SL_2(K)$-module of binary forms of degree k (and the action of $SL_2(K)$ on KX_d is not trivial, i.e., at least one k_i is positive). Then we extend diagonally the action of $SL_2(K)$ on the relatively free algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ freely generated by X_d in a variety of (associative, Lie, Jordan, etc.) K-algebras $\mathfrak{V}$. The algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^{SL_2(K)}$ is a noncommutative analogue of the "classical" object $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$ of polynomial $SL_2(K)$-invariants.

In the general case when G is an arbitrary subgroup of $GL_d(K)$ and $\mathfrak{V}$ is an arbitrary variety of algebras not too much is known about the algebra of G-invariants

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B01, 17B30, 13A50, 15A72, 17B63.

Key words and phrases. free metabelian Lie algebras, classical invariant theory, noncommutative invariant theory.

The research of the first named author was partially supported by Grant Ukraine 01/0007 of the Bulgarian Science Fund for Bilateral Scientific Cooperation between Bulgaria and Ukraine.

The research of the second named author was partially supported by the Council of Higher Education (YÖK) in Turkey.

The research of both authors was partially supported by Grant I02/18 of the Bulgarian Science Fund.

$F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ in $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$. The picture is more or less clear for varieties of associative algebras. For finite groups G see the surveys [13, 9, 17] and for G reductive – the papers [26, 7]. In particular, $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ is finitely generated for all reductive groups G acting rationally on KX_d if and only if $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies the Engel identity $[[[x_2, x_1], \ldots], x_1] = 0$.

It is well known that for varieties of Lie algebras there is a dichotomy: The variety $\mathfrak{V}$ either contains the metabelian variety $\mathfrak{A}^2$ or satisfies the Engel identity. Recall that the variety $\mathfrak{A}^2$ of metabelian (solvable of class 2) Lie algebras is defined by the polynomial identity

$$[[x_1, x_2], [x_3, x_4]] = 0$$

and the free metabelian Lie algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ is isomorphic to the factor algebra L_d/L_d'' of the free d-generated Lie algebra L_d modulo the second term $L_d'' = [[L_d, L_d], [L_d, L_d]]$ of its derived series. If $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies the Engel identity, then the celebrated result of Zel'manov [28] gives that $\mathfrak{V}$ is nilpotent. (The fact that the Engel identity implies that $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ is nilpotent with the class of nilpotency depending on d follows from the famous paper by Kostrikin [18] on the Burnside problem.) When $\mathfrak{V}$ is nilpotent, the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ is finite dimensional. Hence $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ is also finite dimensional and therefore finitely generated as a Lie algebra for all groups G. If $\mathfrak{V}$ contains $\mathfrak{A}^2$, then the canonical homomorphism $F_d(\mathfrak{V}) \to F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ maps $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ onto $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G$. Hence, concerning the finite generation of $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$, the free metabelian algebra is the key object. If the group G is finite and $\mathfrak{V}$ contains $\mathfrak{A}^2$, then $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ is never finitely generated by [4, 8]. To the best of our knowledge, not too much information is available for $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ when the group G is not finite. The algebra of invariants $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ of the group $G = UT_2(K)$ of unipotent 2×2 matrices acting unipotently on KX_d was studied in [10, 5]. In particular, when $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{A}^2$, the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{UT_2(K)}$ is finitely generated if and only if $KX_d = K^2 \oplus K^{d-2}$ and $UT_2(K)$ acts canonically on K^2 and trivially on K^{d-2}.

In the present paper we study the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ of $SL_2(K)$-invariants of $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$. We show that $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ is finitely generated if and only if $KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$ or $KX_d \cong V_2$ as an $SL_2(K)$-module (and in the trivial case $KX_d \cong V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$).

One of the main tools in our considerations is the Shmel'kin embedding theorem [23] which allows to consider $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ as a subalgebra of the abelian wreath product $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d$ of two d-dimensional abelian Lie algebras KA_d and KY_d with bases $A_d = \{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ and $Y_d = \{y_1, \ldots, y_d\}$, respectively.

To see whether $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ is finitely generated, the most difficult part of the proof is to find whether the commutator ideal $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)'$ contains nonzero invariants. For small d it can be established calculating the Hilbert (or Poincaré) series of $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$. But it has turned out that in the general case we have to embed $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d$ into a Poisson algebra and then to use classical results for the existence of sufficiently many invariants of $SL_2(K)$.

The commutator ideal $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)'$ has a natural structure of a $K[X_d]$-module. Well known results give that $(F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)')^{SL_2(K)}$ is a finitely generated $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$-module. For small d we give a list of generators of the $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)')^{SL_2(K)}$. This allows to find explicit sets of generators of the Lie algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ even if is not finitely generated. In this part our paper may be considered as a continuation of [5] where similar problems were solved for $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{UT_2(K)}$.

Finally, we want to mention that the methods for establishing that the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated work also for other relatively free algebras $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ and for other groups G.

2. Preliminaries

In the sequel we fix the base field K of characteristic 0. All vector spaces, algebras, tensor products, etc., will be over K. If R is any algebra generated by the elements $r_1, \dots, r_k$ and I is an ideal of R, we shall denote the generators of the factor algebra R/I with the same symbols $r_1, \dots, r_k$.

2.1. **Free metabelian Lie algebras and abelian wreath products.** For a background on varieties of Lie algebras we refer to [2]. We denote by F_d the free metabelian Lie algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ freely generated by $X_d = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$. We assume that all Lie commutators are left normed, e.g.,

$$[x_1, x_2, x_3] = [[x_1, x_2], x_3] = [x_1, x_2]\mathrm{ad}x_3.$$

The commutator ideal F_d' has a basis consisting of all

$$[x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \dots, x_{j_k}], \quad 1 \le j_i \le d, \quad j_1 > j_2 \le j_3 \le \cdots \le j_k.$$

The algebra F_d has a grading

$$F_d = (F_d)_1 \oplus (F_d)_2 \oplus (F_d)_3 \oplus \cdots$$

where $(F_d)_n$ is the vector subspace of all homogeneous elements of degree n. Similarly, F_d is $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded (or multigraded), with grading which counts the degree of each variable x_i, $i = 1, \dots, d$. The Hilbert series of F_d is the formal power series defined by

$$H(F_d, Z_d) = H(F_d, z_1, \dots, z_d) = \sum_{n_i \ge 0} \dim(F_d)_n Z_d^n,$$

where $(F_d)_n$ is the homogeneous component of degree $n = (n_1, \dots, n_d)$ and $Z_d^n = z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_d^{n_d}$. It is well known, see e.g., [8], that

$$H(F_d, Z_d) = 1 + (z_1 + \cdots + z_d) + (z_1 + \cdots + z_d - 1) \prod_{j=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1 - z_j}.$$

The consequence of the metabelian identity

$$[x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_{\sigma(3)}}, \dots, x_{j_{\sigma(k)}}] = [x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, x_{j_3}, \dots, x_{j_k}],$$

where σ is an arbitrary permutation of $3, \dots, k$, allows to define an action of the polynomial algebra $K[X_d]$ on F_d' by the rule

$$uf(x_1, \dots, x_d) = uf(\mathrm{ad}x_1, \dots, \mathrm{ad}x_d), \quad u \in F_d', \quad f(X_d) \in K[X_d].$$

One of the main tools in our considerations is the Shmel'kin embedding theorem [23] which allows to consider $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ as a subalgebra of the abelian wreath product $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d$ of two d-dimensional abelian Lie algebras KA_d and KY_d. Recall the construction of abelian wreath products due to Shmel'kin [23]. Let KA_d and KY_d be the abelian Lie algebras with bases $A_d = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ and $Y_d = \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$, respectively. Let C_d be the free right $K[Y_d]$-module with free

generators $a_1, \dots, a_d$. We give it the structure of a Lie algebra with trivial multiplication. Then $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$ is equal to the semidirect sum $C_d \leftthreetimes KY_d$. The elements of $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$ are of the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_j f_j(Y_d) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \beta_j y_j, \quad f_j \in K[Y_d], \quad \beta_j \in K, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

The multiplication in $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$ is defined by

$$[C_d, C_d] = [Y_d, Y_d] = 0,$$

$$[a_j f_j(Y_d), y_i] = a_j f_j(Y_d) y_i, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

The embedding theorem of Shmel'kin [23] gives that the free metabelian Lie algebra F_d is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$ generated by $a_j + y_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. In the sequel we identify F_d with its copy in $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$ and assume that

$$x_j = a_j + y_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, d.$$

If u belongs to F'_d,

$$u = \sum_{i>j} [x_i, x_j] f_{ij}(X_d) = \sum_{i>j} [x_i, x_j] f_{ij}(\mathrm{ad}x_1, \dots, \mathrm{ad}x_d), \quad f_{ij}(X_d) \in K[X_d],$$

then in $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$

$$u = \sum (a_i y_j - a_j y_i) f_{ij}(Y_d).$$

The element

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i f_i(X_d) \in KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$$

belongs to F'_d if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} y_i f_i(Y_d) = 0.$$

2.2. **Poisson algebras.** Given two algebraically independent $SL_2(K)$-invariants $f_1(X_d), f_2(X_d) \in K[X_d]$ we shall construct a nonzero $SL_2(K)$-invariant $\pi(f_1, f_2)$ in F'_d. For this purpose we need to embed $KA_d\mathrm{wr}KY_d$ into a Poisson algebra. Recall that the commutative-associative algebra P with multiplication $\cdot$ is a (commutative) Poisson algebra if it has a Poisson bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ such that $(P, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$[f_1 \cdot f_2, f_3] = [f_1, f_3] \cdot f_2 + f_1 \cdot [f_2, f_3], \quad f_1, f_2, f_3 \in P.$$

The free Poisson algebra $P(X_d)$ freely generated by X_d can be obtained in the following way. Fix a basis $B_d = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ of the free Lie algebra L_d. Then, as a commutative algebra, $P(X_d)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric algebra $S(L_d)$, i.e., to the polynomial algebra $K[B_d]$. The Poisson bracket in $P(X_d)$ is defined extending the Lie bracket in L_d using the Leibniz rule. In the sequel we shall omit the $\cdot$ in the multiplication in Poisson algebras.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $P(A_d, Y_d)$ be the free Poisson algebra freely generated by $A_d \cup Y_d$ and let*

$$R_d = P(A_d, Y_d)/(A_d^2, [A_d, A_d], [Y_d, Y_d], [a_i, y_j] - a_i y_j \mid i, j = 1, \dots, d)$$

be the factor algebra of $P(A_d, Y_d)$ *modulo the ideal generated by all elements*

$$a_i a_j, [a_i, a_j], [y_i, y_j], [a_i, y_j] - a_i y_j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

(i) *As a vector space* R_d *has a basis*

$$\{Y_d^q = y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_d^{q_d}, \quad a_i Y_d^q = a_i y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_d^{q_d} \mid q_j \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

(ii) *As a commutative algebra* R_d *is isomorphic to the factor algebra* $K[A_d, Y_d]/(A_d^2)$ *modulo the ideal generated by all products* $a_i a_j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, d$.

(iii) *The Poisson bracket in* R_d *satisfies*

$$[a_i y_1^{p_1} \cdots y_d^{p_d}, y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_d^{q_d}] = (q_1 + \cdots + q_d) a_i y_1^{p_1+q_1} \cdots y_d^{p_d+q_d},$$

$$[y_1^{p_1} \cdots y_d^{p_d}, y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_d^{q_d}] = [a_i y_1^{p_1} \cdots y_d^{p_d}, a_j y_1^{q_1} \cdots y_d^{q_d}] = 0.$$

(iv) *The Lie algebra* $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d$ *is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of* R_d *generated by* A_d *and* Y_d.

Proof. The defining relations

$$[a_i, a_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0, \quad [a_i, y_j] = a_i y_j$$

and the Leibniz rule imply that the commutators of the generators A_d and Y_d can be expressed as monomials of the polynomial algebra $K[A_d, Y_d]$. The defining relations $a_i a_j = 0$ give that as a vector space R_d is spanned by the elements from the statement (i) of the lemma. Hence we shall prove (i), (ii), and (iii), if we show that the commutative algebra $K[A_d, Y_d]/(A_d^2)$ which has the desired basis, is a Poisson algebra with respect to the anticommutative bracket defined in (iii). In order to verify the Jacobi identity it is sufficient to check the case

$$u_1 = a_i Y_d^p, \quad u_2 = Y_d^q, \quad u_3 = Y_d^r,$$

$$p = (p_1, \dots, p_d), \quad q = (q_1, \dots, q_d), \quad r = (r_1, \dots, r_d).$$

Direct verification gives that

$$[u_1, u_2, u_3] + [u_2, u_3, u_1] + [u_3, u_1, u_2]$$

$$= (q_1 + \cdots + q_d)[a_i Y_d^{p+q}, Y_d^r] - (r_1 + \cdots + r_d)[a_i Y_d^{p+r}, Y_d^q]$$

$$= ((q_1 + \cdots + q_d) + (r_1 + \cdots + r_d)) a_i Y_d^{p+q+r} - ((r_1 + \cdots + r_d) + (q_1 + \cdots + q_d)) a_i Y_d^{p+q+r} = 0.$$

Similarly, for the Leibniz rule it is sufficient to check the case $[u_1, u_2 u_3]$ for the same elements u_1, u_2, u_3:

$$[u_1, u_2 u_3] = ((q_1 + r_1) + \cdots + (q_d + r_d)) a_i Y_d^{p+q+r}$$

$$= (q_1 + \cdots + q_d) a_i Y_d^{p+q} Y_d^r + (r_1 + \cdots + r_d) Y_d^q a_i Y_d^{p+r} = [u_1, u_2] u_3 + u_2 [u_1, u_3].$$

This proves (i), (ii), and (iii). Finally, for the proof of (iv) we see that the commutator ideal of the Lie subalgebra of R_d generated by A_d and Y_d has a basis consisting of all

$$a_i Y_d^p = a_i (\mathrm{ad}^{p_1} y_1) \cdots (\mathrm{ad}^{p_d} y_q), \quad p = (p_1, \dots, p_d) \neq (0, \dots, 0),$$

the same as the commutator ideal of $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d$. The multiplication table is also the same. Hence both Lie algebras are isomorphic. □

2.3. **Algebraically independent elements in Poisson algebras.** The well known Jacobian criterion [16] from 1841 gives that the polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k \in K[X_d]$, $k \leq d$, are algebraically dependent if and only if

$$\begin{vmatrix} \partial f_1/\partial x_{j_1} & \partial f_2/\partial x_{j_1} & \cdots & \partial f_k/\partial x_{j_1} \\ \partial f_1/\partial x_{j_2} & \partial f_2/\partial x_{j_2} & \cdots & \partial f_k/\partial x_{j_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial f_1/\partial x_{j_k} & \partial f_2/\partial x_{j_k} & \cdots & \partial f_k/\partial x_{j_k} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

for all determinants with $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leq d$. A simple proof for $k = d$ can be found e.g., in [11] or [22]. We shall use the Jacobian criterion for $k = 2$ only (and for any $d \geq 2$). For self-completeness we present an elementary proof of the part we need.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f_1(X_d), f_2(X_d) \in K[X_d]$ and let*

$$J_{ij}(f_1, f_2) = \begin{vmatrix} \partial f_1/\partial x_i & \partial f_2/\partial x_i \\ \partial f_1/\partial x_j & \partial f_2/\partial x_j \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. Then $f_1(X_d)$ and $f_2(X_d)$ are algebraically dependent.

Proof. Let $f_1(X_d)$ and $f_2(X_d)$ be algebraically independent. We may assume that they depend essentially on all variables x_i, i.e., $(\partial f_1/\partial x_i, \partial f_2/\partial x_i) \neq (0, 0)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Since all determinants $J_{ij}(f_1, f_2)$ are equal to 0, this means that there exist nonzero polynomials $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in K[X_d]$ such that

$$\left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_i}\right) = \frac{a_{ij}}{b_{ij}} \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}, \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_j}\right), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq d.$$

Since every $d + 1$ polynomials in $K[X_d]$ are algebraically dependent, there exists a nonzero polynomial $p(t_1, t_2, u_1, \dots, u_k)$ such that $k \leq d - 1$ and

$$p(f_1, f_2, x_1, \dots, x_k) = p(f_1, f_2, X_k) = 0.$$

We choose k minimal with this property, and among all such p we choose this of minimal degree with respect to u_k. Since f_1, f_2 are algebraically independent, we have that $k > 0$. We take the partial derivatives of $p(f_1, f_2, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ with respect to x_k and x_{k+1}:

$$0 = \frac{\partial p(f_1, f_2, X_k)}{\partial x_k} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial t_1}(f_1, f_2, X_k)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t_2}(f_1, f_2, X_k)\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial u_k}(f_1, f_2, X_k),$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial p(f_1, f_2, X_k)}{\partial x_{k+1}} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial t_1}(f_1, f_2, X_k)\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_{k+1}} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t_2}(f_1, f_2, X_k)\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_{k+1}}.$$

Since $\partial f_1/\partial x_k$ and $\partial f_2/\partial x_k$ are equal, respectively, to $(a_{k,k+1}/b_{k,k+1})\partial f_1/\partial x_{k+1}$ and $(a_{k,k+1}/b_{k,k+1})\partial f_2/\partial x_{k+1}$, the above equations give that $(\partial p/\partial u_k)(f_1, f_2, X_k) = 0$. Hence f_1, f_2, X_k annulate the polynomial $(\partial p/\partial u_k)(t_1, t_2, u_1, \dots, u_k)$ which is of lower degree in x_k than $p(t_1, t_2, u_1, \dots, u_k)$ and this is a contradiction. Hence $k = 0$, i.e., f_1 and f_2 are algebraically dependent. □

If $f_1(X_d), f_2(X_d)$ are polynomials in $K[X_d]$ considered as elements of the free Poisson algebra $P(X_d)$, then, see e.g., [22],

$$[f_1, f_2] = \sum_{i<j} [x_i, x_j] \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_i}\right).$$

Hence $f_1(X_d), f_2(X_d) \in K[X_d] \subset P(X_d)$ are algebraically independent in $K[X_d]$ if and only if $[f_1, f_2] \neq 0$ in $P(X_d)$. An analogue for any $f_1, f_2 \in P(X_d)$ was established in [21]. We cannot apply directly this result for the algebra R_d defined in the previous subsection because it is a homomorphic image of the free Poisson algebra. We believe that the following proposition is of independent interest because it allows, starting from two polynomials in commuting variables, to construct elements with prescribed properties in the free metabelian Lie algebra.

Proposition 2.3. *Let the homogeneous polynomials $f_1(X_d)$ and $f_2(X_d)$ be algebraically independent in $K[X_d]$. Then in the Poisson algebra R_d the commutator*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(f_1, f_2) &= [f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)] \\ &= [f_1(a_1 + y_1, \dots, a_d + y_d), f_2(a_1 + y_1, \dots, a_d + y_d)] \\ &= \sum_{i<j} (a_i y_j - a_j y_i) \left(\frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \right)\end{aligned}$$

is different from zero and belongs to the commutator ideal F_d' of the free metabelian Lie algebra F_d embedded in R_d.

Proof. If P is any Poisson algebra, $W_d = \{w_1, \dots, w_d\} \subset P$, and

$$W_d^q = w_1^{q_1} \cdots w_d^{q_d}, \quad W_d^r = w_1^{r_1} \cdots w_d^{r_d},$$

then the Leibniz rule implies that

$$\begin{aligned}[W_d^q, W_d^r] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} [w_i, w_j] q_i r_j w_1^{q_1 + r_1} \cdots w_i^{q_i + r_i - 1} \cdots w_j^{q_j + r_j - 1} \cdots w_d^{q_d + r_d} \\ &= \sum_{i<j} [w_i, w_j] \left(\frac{\partial W_d^q}{\partial w_i} \frac{\partial W_d^r}{\partial w_j} - \frac{\partial W_d^q}{\partial w_j} \frac{\partial W_d^r}{\partial w_i} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, as in the case of the free Poisson algebra, for any polynomials $f_1(X_d), f_2(X_d) \in K[X_d]$,

$$\begin{aligned}[f_1(W_d), f_2(W_d)] &= \sum_{i<j} [w_i, w_j] \left(\frac{\partial f_1(W_d)}{\partial w_i} \frac{\partial f_2(W_d)}{\partial w_j} - \frac{\partial f_1(W_d)}{\partial w_j} \frac{\partial f_2(W_d)}{\partial w_i} \right) \\ = \sum_{i<j} [w_i, w_j] \begin{vmatrix} \partial f_1(W_d)/\partial w_i & \partial f_2(W_d)/\partial w_i \\ \partial f_1(W_d)/\partial w_j & \partial f_2(W_d)/\partial w_j \end{vmatrix} &= \sum_{i<j} [w_i, w_j] J_{ij}(f_1(W_d), f_2(W_d)).\end{aligned}$$

We apply this equality for

$$W_d = A_d + Y_d = \{a_1 + y_1, \dots, a_d + y_d\} \subset R_d.$$

Since $[a_i + y_i, a_j + y_j] = a_i y_j - a_j y_i$ and $a_k a_l = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)] &= \sum_{i<j} (a_i y_j - a_j y_i) \begin{vmatrix} \partial f_1(Y_d)/\partial y_i & \partial f_2(Y_d)/\partial y_i \\ \partial f_1(Y_d)/\partial y_j & \partial f_2(Y_d)/\partial y_j \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{i<j} (a_i y_j - a_j y_i) \left(\frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i \left(\frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \sum_{j=1}^{d} y_j \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} y_j \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_2(Y_d)$ is homogeneous, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} y_j \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} = \deg(f_2) f_2(Y_d).$$

If $[f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)] = 0$, then the coordinates of a_i are equal to 0 and we obtain a homogeneous linear system

$$\frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \deg(f_2) f_2(Y_d) - \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} y_j \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} = 0$$

of d equations, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, with unknowns $\partial f_1(Y_d)/\partial y_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$. The matrix of this system is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \deg(f_2) f_2 - y_1 \partial f_2/\partial y_1 & -y_2 \partial f_2/\partial y_1 & \cdots & -y_d \partial f_2/\partial y_1 \\ -y_1 \partial f_2/\partial y_2 & \deg(f_2) f_2 - y_2 \partial f_2/\partial y_2 & \cdots & -y_d \partial f_2/\partial y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -y_1 \partial f_2/\partial y_d & -y_2 \partial f_2/\partial y_d & \cdots & \deg(f_2) f_2 - y_d \partial f_2/\partial y_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $f_2(Y_d) \neq 0$, at least one partial derivative if nonzero. Let, for example, $\partial f_2/\partial y_d \neq 0$. Subtracting the last row of the system multiplied by $(\partial f_2/\partial y_i)/(\partial f_2/\partial y_d)$ from the i-th row, we obtain an equivalent system with a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \deg(f_2) f_2 & -0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ 0 & \deg(f_2) f_2 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \deg(f_2) f_2 & * \\ -y_1 \partial f_2/\partial y_d & -y_2 \partial f_2/\partial y_d & \cdots & -y_{d-1} \partial f_2/\partial y_d & \deg(f_2) f_2 - y_d \partial f_2/\partial y_d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $f_2(Y_d) \neq 0$, the rank of the matrix is $\geq d-1$. Since the system has a nonzero solution $\partial f_2/\partial y_j = \partial f_1/\partial y_j$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$, the rank is $d-1$. Hence all solutions of the system are

$$\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y_j} = \frac{p(Y_d)}{q(Y_d)} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y_j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, d,$$

for some polynomials $p(Y_d), q(Y_d) \in K[Y_d]$. Therefore,

$$J_{ij}(f_1(Y_d), f_2(Y_d)) = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, d,$$

which contradicts with the algebraic independence of $f_1(Y)$ and $f_2(Y)$. Clearly, the form of the element

$$[f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)] = \sum_{i<j} (a_i y_j - a_j y_i) f_{ij}(Y_d), \quad f_{ij}(Y_d) \in K[Y_d],$$

shows that $[f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)]$ is a Lie element and belongs to F'_d. □

2.4. **Invariant theory of the special linear group.** All necessary information on representation theory of the special linear group $SL_2(K)$ and the general linear group $GL_2(K)$ and on invariant theory of $SL_2(K)$ can be found in many books, see e.g., [24, 27]. For a background on symmetric functions see, e.g., [19]. In our considerations we combine ideas of De Concini, Eisenbud, Procesi [6] and for the noncommutative generalizations – of Almkvist, Dicks, Formanek [1], see [3] for details. Every rational representation $\rho : SL_2(K) \to GL_d(K)$ of $SL_2(K)$ is a direct

sum of irreducible representations. For each nonnegative integer k there exists a unique irreducible rational $(k+1)$-dimensional $SL_2(K)$-representation

$$\rho_k : SL_2(K) \to GL_{k+1}(K) = GL(V_k),$$

where V_k is the vector space of the bilinear forms of degree k. We fix a basis $\Xi_k = \{\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k\}$ of V_k and define the action of $GL_2(K)$ as follows. Let

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K).$$

If K^2 is the vector space with basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ and the canonical action of $GL_2(K)$

$$g(e_1) = g_{11}e_1 + g_{21}e_2, \quad g(e_2) = g_{12}e_1 + g_{22}e_2,$$

then the action of g on the polynomial functions $f : K^2 \to K$ is

$$g(f)(v) = f(g^{-1}(v)), \quad v = u_1e_1 + u_2e_2 \in K^2.$$

In particular, the action on the coordinate functions is

$$u_1' = g(u_1) = \frac{1}{\det(g)}(g_{22}u_1 - g_{12}u_2), \quad u_2' = g(u_2) = \frac{1}{\det(g)}(-g_{21}u_1 + g_{11}u_2).$$

Hence

$$u_1 = g_{11}u_1' + g_{12}u_2', \quad u_2 = g_{21}u_1' + g_{22}u_2'.$$

If the binary form of degree k is

$$f(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \xi_j u_1^{k-j} u_2^j$$

$$= \xi_0 u_1^k + \binom{k}{1}\xi_1 u_1^{k-1}u_2 + \binom{k}{2}\xi_2 u_1^{k-2}u_2^2 + \cdots + \binom{k}{k-1}\xi_{k-1}u_1u_2^{k-1} + \xi_k u_2^k,$$

then g acts on $f(u_1, u_2)$ by the rule

$$g : f(u_1, u_2) \to f(g(u_1), g(u_2)) = f(g_{11}u_1' + g_{12}u_2', g_{21}u_1' + g_{22}u_2')$$

$$= f'(u_1', u_2') = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \xi_j' (u_1')^{k-j} (u_2')^j$$

and we define

$$g(\xi_j) = \xi_j', \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Example 2.4. We shall describe the action of the matrix

$$g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(K)$$

on V_k. Since

$$g_1(u_1) = u_1' + u_2', \quad g_1(u_2) = u_2',$$

we have

$$f(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \xi_j u_1^{k-j} u_2^j = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} \xi_j (u_1' + u_2')^{k-j} (u_2')^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \binom{k-j}{i} \xi_j (u_1')^{k-j-i} (u_2')^{i+j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-j} \binom{k}{i+j} \binom{i+j}{j} \xi_j (u_1')^{k-(j+i)} (u_2')^{i+j}.$$

In the above expression the summation is the same as for $l = i + j = 0, 1, \ldots, k$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, i + j = l$, and we obtain

$$f(u_1, u_2) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{l}{j} \xi_j \right) (u_1')^{k-l} (u_2')^{l} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} \xi_l' (u_1')^{k-l} (u_2')^{l}.$$

Hence the action of g_1 on ξ_l is defined by

$$g_1(\xi_l) = \xi_l' = \sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{l}{j} \xi_j, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, k.$$

Similarly, the action of

$$g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on V_k is

$$g_2(\xi_{k-l}) = \sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{l}{j} \xi_{k-j}, \quad l = 0, 1, \ldots, k.$$

If KX_d is isomorphic to a direct sum $V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$, we may change linearly the variables and assume that the bases of the $SL_2(K)$-submodules V_{k_i} of KX_d are subsets of X_d. If $KX_{k+1} = V_k$ we shall identify $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k+1}$ with $\xi_0, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k$, respectively.

The following statement is a part of classical invariant theory, see [15, p. 318 in the 1893 original]. A modern proof can be found, e.g., in [24, p. 65].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $KX_{k+1} = V_k$ be the $SL_2(K)$-module of the binary forms of degree k. Then the transcendence degree on the algebra of invariants $K[X_{k+1}]^{SL_2(K)}$ is*

$$\text{transc.deg}(K[X_{k+1}]^{SL_2(K)}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k = 1; \\ 1, & \text{if } k = 2; \\ k - 2, & \text{if } k > 2. \end{cases}$$

Example 2.6. The following cases are well known for more than 100 years, see [14]. They can be seen directly computing the Hilbert series of the algebra of invariants and checking that the given elements are $SL_2(K)$-invariants (see the comments below).

(i) If $KX_2 = V_1$, then $K[X_2]^{SL_2(K)} = K$.

(ii) Let $KX_3 = V_2$, then $K[X_3]^{SL_2(K)} = K[f]$, where $f = x_2^2 - x_1 x_3$ is the discriminant.

(iii) Let $KX_4 = V_1 \oplus V_1$, where the two copies of V_1 are generated by x_1, x_2 and x_3, x_4, respectively. Then $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)} = K[f]$, where $f = x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3$.

We use the same notation ρ_k and V_k, respectively, for the polynomial representation of $GL_2(K)$ and the corresponding $GL_2(K)$-module of the binary forms of degree k. As in the case of rational representations of $SL_2(K)$, every polynomial representation of $GL_2(K)$ is a direct sum of irreducible representations. Every irreducible representation is of the form $\det^l \otimes \rho_k$, where $\det : GL_2(K) \to K$ is defined by $\det : g \to \det(g)$, $g \in GL_2(K)$. Clearly, the representation $\det^l \otimes \rho_k$ is the

same as the representation indexed by the partition $(k+l,l)$. If the representation $\rho : SL_2(K) \to GL_d(K) = GL(KX_d)$ is of the form

$$\rho_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{k_r},$$

i.e., $KX_d = V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$, we extend the action of $SL_2(K)$ to the action of $GL_2(K)$. Then we extend this action diagonally on the polynomial algebra $K[X_d]$:

$$g(f(X_d)) = g(f(x_1, \ldots, x_d)) = f(g(x_1), \ldots, g(x_d)), \quad g \in SL_2(K), \quad f(X_d) \in K[X_d].$$

The homogeneous component $K[X_d]_n$ of degree n is a direct sum of $GL_2(K)$-modules

$$K[X_d]_n = \sum_{l,k \geq 0} m_n(k,l)(\det{}^l \otimes V_k),$$

where the nonnegative integer $m_n(k,l)$ is the multiplicity of $\det^l \otimes V_k$ in $K[X_d]_n$.

The following well known lemma, see e.g., [6] describes the $SL_2(K)$- and $UT_2(K)$-invariants of polynomial $GL_2(K)$-modules.

Lemma 2.7. *Let the (finite dimensional) polynomial $GL_2(K)$-module V decompose as*

$$V = \sum_{l,k \geq 0} m(k,l)(\det{}^l \otimes V_k).$$

(i) *The subspace $V^{SL_2(K)}$ of the $SL_2(K)$-invariants in V is spanned by the one-dimensional $GL_2(K)$-submodules $\det^l \otimes V_0$.*

(ii) *Each summand $m(k,l)(\det^l \otimes V_k)$ of V has $m(k,l)$ linearly independent elements w_{kl}^i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m(k,l)$, (one in each irreducible component $\det^l \otimes V_k$) such that the vector space $V^{UT_2(K)}$ has a basis*

$$\{w_{kl}^i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, m(k,l), k, l \geq 0\}.$$

The above lemma implies that the homogeneous component $K[X_d]_n^{SL_2(K)}$ of degree n of the algebra of $SL_2(K)$-invariants $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$ is

$$K[X_d]_n^{SL_2(K)} = \sum_{l \geq 0} m_n(0,l)(\det{}^l \otimes V_0)$$

and the Hilbert series of $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$ is

$$H(K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{l \geq 0} m_n(0,l) z^n.$$

Let $D_2(K)$ be the diagonal subgroup of $GL_2(K)$. Then $\det^l \otimes V_k$ has a basis $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_k\}$ of eigenvectors of $D_2(K)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} : v_j \to \alpha_1^{k+l-j} \alpha_2^{l+j} v_j, \quad 0 \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K.$$

The element v_0 is equal up to a multiplicative constant to the element $w_{kl} \in \det^l \otimes V_k$ from Lemma 2.7. The corresponding character of $D_2(K)$ is

$$\chi(\det{}^l \otimes V_k) : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \to S_{(k+l,l)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

where

$$S_{(k+l,l)}(t_1, t_2) = (t_1 t_2)^l (t_1^k + t_1^{k-1} t_2 + \cdots + t_1 t_2^{k-1} + t_2^k)$$

is the Schur function. This defines a $\mathbb{Z}^2$-grading (or bigrading) on $\det^l \otimes V_k$ and hence on $K[X_d]$. The Hilbert series of $K[X_d]$ which counts both this bigrading and the usual grading is

$$H_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z) = \sum_{l,k,n \geq 0} m_n(k,l) S_{(k+l,l)}(t_1, t_2) z^n.$$

The Hilbert series

$$H(K[X_d], Z_d) = H(K[X_d], z_1, \ldots, z_d) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1 - z_i}$$

of the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded algebra $K[X_d]$ and $H_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z)$ are related in the following way. If $\{x_j, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{j+k_i}\}$ is the basis of V_{k_i}, we replace the variables $z_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_{j+k_i}$, respectively, with $t_1^{k_i} z, t_1^{k_i - 1} t_2 z, \ldots, t_2^{k_i} z$. Then

$$H_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z) = H(K[X_d], t_1^{k_1} z, t_1^{k_1 - 1} t_2 z, \ldots, t_2^{k_r} z).$$

There are many methods to compute the Hilbert series of $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$. We have chosen the following. We define the multiplicity series of $H_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z)$

$$M_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z) = \sum_{l,k,n \geq 0} m_n(k,l) t_1^k (t_1 t_2)^l z^n,$$

which is the generating function of the multiplicities $m_n(k,l)$ of the irreducible $GL_2(K)$-components in $K[X_d]_n$. We introduce new variables $t = t_1$, $u = t_1 t_2$ and rewrite $M_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z)$ in the form

$$M'_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t, u, z) = \sum_{l,k,n \geq 0} m_n(k,l) t^k u^l z^n.$$

Then $M'_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], 0, u, z)$ is the component of $M'_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t, u, z)$ which does not depend on t and

$$H(K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = M'_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], 0, 1, z).$$

For the computing of $M_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z)$ and $M'_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t, u, z)$ we have used the methods in [3] which origin from classical work of Elliott [12] and MacMahon [20]. But it is much easier to verify that the formal power series $f(t_1, t_2, z)$ is equal to $M_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z)$. It is sufficient to see whether

$$H_{GL_2(K)}(K[X_d], t_1, t_2, z) = \frac{1}{t_1 - t_2}(t_1 f(t_1, t_2, z) - t_2 f(t_2, t_1, z)).$$

In the sequel we shall also need partial cases of the Young rule and rules for symmetric and skew-symmetric tensor squares. The proofs can be found, respectively, in [19] and [25].

Lemma 2.8. (i) *The tensor product of the $GL_2(K)$-modules V_k and V_m, $k \geq m$, decomposes as*

$$V_k \otimes V_m = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{m} \det{}^n \otimes V_{k+m-2n}.$$

(ii) *The symmetric tensor square of the $GL_2(K)$-module V_k which identifies $v' \otimes v''$ and $v'' \otimes v'$ decomposes as*

$$V_{2m} \otimes_{\mathrm{sym}} V_{2m} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{m} \det{}^{2n} \otimes V_{4(m-n)},$$

if $k = 2m$ is even and

$$V_{2m+1} \otimes_{\mathrm{sym}} V_{2m+1} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{m} \det{}^{2n} \otimes V_{4(m-n)+2},$$

if $k = 2m+1$ is odd.

(iii) *The skew-symmetric tensor square of the $GL_2(K)$-module V_k which identifies $v' \otimes v''$ and $-v'' \otimes v'$ decomposes as*

$$V_{2m} \otimes_{\mathrm{skew}} V_{2m} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{m} \det{}^{2n-1} \otimes V_{4(m-n)+2},$$

if $k = 2m$ is even and

$$V_{2m+1} \otimes_{\mathrm{skew}} V_{2m+1} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{m} \det{}^{2n+1} \otimes V_{4(m-n)},$$

if $k = 2m+1$ is odd.

Remark 2.9. Let v be an element of the polynomial $GL_2(K)$-module V. In order to check that v is $SL_2(K)$-invariant it is not necessary to check that $g(v) = v$ for all $g \in SL_2(K)$. It is well known that it is enough to see this only for the matrices g_1 and g_2 from Example 2.4. The matrices g_1 and g_2 are unitriangular. Hence the matrices $g_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2$, act as nilpotent operators on V and the logarithm

$$\delta_i = \log(g_i) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(g_i - 1)^n}{n}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

is well defined. In the polynomial case, one may extend the action of δ_i on V to a derivation of $K[V]$ by the rule $\delta(uv) = \delta(u)v + u\delta(v)$, $u, v \in K[V]$, and then by linearity on the whole $K[V]$. Then $f \in K[V]$ is an $SL_2(K)$-invariant if and only if $\delta_i(f) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$. This verification is much simpler than the checking that $g_i(f) = f$, $i = 1, 2$. Similar arguments work for the tensor algebra on V (which is isomorphic to the free associative algebra $K\langle V \rangle$), for the free Lie algebra on V, etc.

Example 2.10. Consider the element

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} (-1)^i \xi_i \otimes \xi_{k-i} \in V_k \otimes V_k.$$

This element is bihomogeneous of degree (k, k). Direct verification shows that $g_1(w) = g_2(w) = w$ (or, equivalently, w belongs to the kernel of the derivations $\log(g_1)$ and $\log(g_2)$ of the tensor algebra on V_k). Hence w belongs to $(V_k \otimes V_k)^{SL_2(K)}$ and, in the notation of Lemma 2.8 (i), generates the irreducible $GL_2(K)$-component $\det{}^k \otimes V_0$. By Lemma 2.8 (ii) and (iii), when $k = 2m$ is even, $w \neq 0$ in the symmetric square $V_{2m} \otimes_{\mathrm{sym}} V_{2m}$. When $k = 2m+1$ is odd, $w \neq 0$ in $V_{2m+1} \otimes_{\mathrm{skew}} V_{2m+1}$.

We identify V_k and KX_{k+1}. Then the symmetric tensor square $V_{2m} \otimes_{\mathrm{sym}} V_{2m}$ may be identifies with the $GL_2(K)$-module of the homogeneous quadratic polynomials in $K[X_{2m+1}]$. Similarly, we may consider the elements of the skew-symmetric tensor square $V_{2m+1} \otimes_{\mathrm{skew}} V_{2m+1}$ as homogeneous elements of second degree in the metabelian Lie algebra F_{2m+2}. In this way we obtain the $SL_2(K)$-invariants

$$w_{2m+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+1} \binom{2m}{i-1} (-1)^{i-1} x_i x_{2m+2-i}$$

$$= 2\sum_{i=1}^{m}\binom{2m}{i-1}(-1)^{i-1}x_ix_{2m+2-i} + \binom{2m}{m}(-1)^m x_{m+1}^2 \in K[X_{2m+1}]^{SL_2(K)},$$

$$w_{2m+2} = \sum_{i=1}^{2m+2}\binom{2m+1}{i-1}(-1)^{i-1}[x_i, x_{2m+3-i}]$$

$$= 2\sum_{i=1}^{m+1}\binom{2m+1}{i-1}(-1)^{i-1}[x_i, x_{2m+3-i}] \in (F'_{2m+2})^{SL_2(K)}.$$

2.5. **Noncommutative invariant theory for arbitrary groups.** Let $\mathfrak{V}$ be a variety of K-algebras. We shall assume that $\mathfrak{V}$ is a variety of Lie algebras. Since the base field K is of characteristic 0, and hence infinite, the relatively free algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ is $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded. The action of the group $GL_d(K) = GL(KX_d)$ on KX_d is extended diagonally on $F_d(\mathfrak{V})$:

$$g(f(X_d)) = g(f(x_1, \dots, x_d)) = f(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_d)), \quad g \in GL_d(K),\ f(X_d) \in F_d(\mathfrak{V}).$$

Let G be a subgroup of $GL_d(K) = GL(KX_d)$. Then the algebra of G-invariants is

$$F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G = \{f(X_d) \in F_d(\mathfrak{V}) \mid g(f(X_d)) = f(X_d) \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

The following lemma is well known, see e.g., [10, Proposition 4.2] for the case of varieties of associative algebras.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\mathfrak{V}$ and $\mathfrak{W}$ be two varieties of algebras and $\mathfrak{V} \subset \mathfrak{W}$. Let G be a subgroup of $GL_d(K) = GL(KX_d)$. Then the natural homomorphism $F_d(\mathfrak{W}) \to F_d(\mathfrak{V})$ maps $F_d(\mathfrak{W})^G$ onto $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$. Hence, if the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$ is not finitely generated, then the same is $F_d(\mathfrak{W})^G$.*

As a result of the dichotomy of the varieties of Lie algebras (either $\mathfrak{V}$ contains $\mathfrak{A}^2$ or $\mathfrak{V}$ satisfies the Engel identity and is nilpotent), the G-invariants of the free metabelian Lie algebra are the key object in the study of the finite generation of $F_d(\mathfrak{V})^G$. We give a couple of cases when the algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G$ is not finitely generated. We shall assume that $GL_d(K)$ acts in the same way on the vector spaces KX_d, KA_d, and KY_d. If $g \in GL_d(K)$, then

$$g(x_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha_{ij}x_i, \quad g(a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha_{ij}a_i, \quad g(y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{d}\alpha_{ij}y_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

with the same α_{ij} for X_d, A_d, and Y_d.

Lemma 2.12. *Let the subgroup G of $GL_d(K) = GL(KX_d)$ be such that the vector space KX_d has no G-fixed elements (i.e., $(KX_d)^G = 0$) and the algebras $K[X_d]^G$ and $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G$ are nontrivial (i.e., $K[X_d]^G \neq K$ and $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G \neq 0$). Then the Lie algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G$ is not finitely generated.*

Proof. Since the algebra $F_d^G = F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G$ is graded, the condition $(KX_d)^G = 0$ gives that $F_d^G \subset F'_d$. Hence the algebra F_d^G is abelian and it is sufficient to show that it is infinite dimensional. Working in the Poisson algebra R_d from Subsection 2.2, if $u \in R'_d = [R_d, R_d]$ and $f \in K[Y_d] \subset R_d$ are homogeneous nonzero elements, then the element uf is also nonzero. Starting with a nonzero homogeneous $u \in (F'_d)^G$ and with a homogeneous polynomial of positive degree $f \in K[X_d]^G$, we obtain an infinite sequence of elements $uf^n \in F'_d$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ which are linearly independent in R_d because are of different degrees. Since $g(uf^n) = g(u)g(f)^n = uf^n$ for all $g \in G$, we conclude that $uf^n \in (F'_d)^G$ and complete the proof. $\square$

Proposition 2.13. *Let $(KX_d)^G = 0$ for the subgroup G of $GL_d(K) = GL(KX_d)$ and let the transcendence degree of $K[X_d]^G$ be greater than 1. Then the Lie algebra $F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)^G$ is not finitely generated.*

Proof. Since transc.deg$(K[X_d]^G) > 1$, the algebra contains two algebraically independent homogeneous invariants f_1 and f_2. Then the element

$$\pi(f_1, f_2) = [f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)] \in R_d$$

belongs to F'_d. Also, if $g \in G$, then

$$g(f_1(A_d + Y_d)) = f_1(A_d + Y_d), \quad g(f_2(A_d + Y_d)) = f_2(A_d + Y_d).$$

Hence

$$g(\pi(f_1, f_2)) = [g(f_1(A_d + Y_d)), g(f_2(A_d + Y_d))] = [f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)]$$

and $\pi(f_1, f_2) \in (F'_d)^G$. Now the proof follows from Lemma 2.12. □

Finally, computing the Hilbert series of the $SL_2(K)$-invariants of the free metabelian Lie algebra F_d, we may apply exactly the same methods as for the $SL_2(K)$-invariants of $K[X_d]$. Starting with the decomposition of the $SL_2(K)$-module KX_d and the Hilbert series $H(F_d, Z_d)$ of F_d we obtain the Hilbert series

$$H_{GL_2(K)}(F_d, t_1, t_2, z) = \sum_{k,l,n \geq 0} m_{n,k,l}(F_d) S_{(k+l,l)}(t_1, t_2) z^n,$$

the multiplicity series

$$M_{GL_2(K)}(F_d, t_1, t_2, z) = \sum_{k,l,n \geq 0} m_{n,k,l}(F_d) t_1^k (t_1 t_2)^l z^n,$$

$$M'_{GL_2(K)}(F_d, t, u, z) = \sum_{k,l,n \geq 0} m_{n,k,l}(F_d) t^k u^l z^n,$$

and the Hilbert series

$$H(F_d^{SL_2(K)}, z) = M'_{GL_2(K)}(F_d, 0, 1, z)$$

of $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$. See [5] where such computations were performed for the multiplicity series of F_d.

3. Infinite generation of the metabelian invariants of the special linear group

In this section we assume that $SL_2(K)$ acts rationally on the vector space KX_d and

$$KX_d \cong V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r},$$

where V_k is the $SL_2(K)$-module of binary forms of degree k. When necessary we extend the $SL_2(K)$-action to $GL_2(K)$. If V' is an $SL_2(K)$-submodule of KX_d we assume that it is spanned by a subset of X_d. We denote by F_d the free metabelian Lie algebra generated by X_d.

Following our agreement, if KX_d has an $SL_2(K)$-invariant subspace we may assume that it has a basis $\{x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d\}$ and its complement has a basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$. Then the subalgebra of $SL_2(K)$-invariants in $K[X_d]$ has the form

$$K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)} = (K[X_k]^{SL_2(K)})[x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_d].$$

We shall derive an analogue of this fact for the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ which shows how to obtain the generators of $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ if we know the generators of $F_{d-1}^{SL_2(K)}$. The proof is based on a similar statement for the algebra of $UT_2(K)$-invariants from [5]. We shall work in the Poisson algebra

$$R_d = P(A_d, Y_d)/(A_d^2, [A_d, A_d], [Y_d, Y_d], [a_i, y_j] - a_i y_j \mid i, j = 1, \ldots, d)$$

from Lemma 2.1. The lemma gives that the Lie algebra $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d$ is isomorphic to the Lie subalgebra of R_d generated by A_d and Y_d. Hence the free metabelian Lie algebra F_d is embedded in R_d as the Lie subalgebra generated by

$$X_d = A_d + Y_d = \{x_i = a_i + y_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, d\}.$$

Clearly, the unitary associative subalgebra of R_d generated by X_d is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $K[X_d]$. By Proposition 2.3, if $f_1(X_d)$ and $f_2(X_d)$ are two polynomials in $K[X_d] \subset R_d$, then the commutator

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(f_1(X_d), f_2(X_d)) &= [f_1(A_d + Y_d), f_2(A_d + Y_d)] \\ &= \sum_{i<j} (a_i y_j - a_j y_i) \left(\frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial f_1(Y_d)}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial f_2(Y_d)}{\partial y_i} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i<j} [x_i, x_j] \left(\frac{\partial f_1(X_d)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f_2(X_d)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial f_1(X_d)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial f_2(X_d)}{\partial x_i} \right)\end{aligned}$$

belongs to the commutator ideal F_d' of $F_d \subset R_d$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $x_d \in KX_d$ be $SL_2(K)$-invariant and let $KX_d = KX_{d-1} \oplus Kx_d$ as $SL_2(K)$-modules. Let $\{v_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{u_j \mid j \in J\}$ be, respectively, homogeneous bases of $(F_{d-1}')^{SL_2(K)}$ and $\omega(K[X_{d-1}])^{SL_2(K)}$ with respect to the usual $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, where $\omega(K[X_{d-1}])$ is the augmentation ideal of $K[X_{d-1}]$. Then $(F_d')^{SL_2(K)} \subset R_d$ has a basis consisting of*

$$v_i y_d^n = v_i \mathrm{ad}^n x_d, \quad i \in I, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$\pi(x_d, u_j) y_d^n = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} [x_d, x_k] \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} (\mathrm{ad} X_{d-1}) \mathrm{ad}^n x_d, \quad j \in J, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proof. We consider the group $UT_2(K)$ as a subgroup of $SL_2(K)$, both groups acting on KX_d. Hence $UT_2(K)$ acts unitriangularly on the irreducible $SL_2(K)$-components of KX_d. Then the algebras of $UT_2(K)$-invariants $K[X_d]^{UT_2(K)}$ and $F_d^{UT_2(K)}$ coincide, respectively, with the algebras of constants (i.e., the kernels) $K[X_d]^{\delta_1}$ and $F_d^{\delta_1}$ of the Weitzenböck (i.e., locally nilpotent linear) derivation $\delta_1 = \log(g_1)$. A basis of the vector space $(F_d')^{UT_2(K)}$ is given in [5, Theorem 4.3]. We translate it in the language of our paper. Let $\{v_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{u_j \mid j \in J\}$ be, respectively, homogeneous bases of $(F_{d-1}')^{UT_2(K)}$ and $\omega(K[X_{d-1}])^{UT_2(K)}$ with respect to both $\mathbb{Z}$- and $\mathbb{Z}^2$-gradings. Then $(F_d')^{UT_2(K)}$ as a subspace of $KA_d \mathrm{wr} KY_d \subset R_d$ has a basis

$$\{v_i y_d^n, \pi(x_d, u_j) y_d^n \mid i \in I, j \in J, n \geq 0\}.$$

A bihomogeneous element in $K[X_d]^{UT_2(K)}$ or in $F_d^{UT_2(K)}$ of bidegree (p, q) is $SL_2(K)$-invariant if and only if $p = q$. Since the elements x_d, a_d, y_d are of bidegree $(0, 0)$, the vector space $(F_d')^{SL_2(K)}$ has a basis consisting of the basis elements $v_i y_d^n$ and $\pi(x_d, u_j) y_d^n$ of $(F_d')^{UT_2(K)}$ with the property that the bidegrees of v_i and u_j are

equal to (p_i, p_i) and (q_j, q_j), respectively. But this condition simply means that $v_i \in (F'_{d-1})^{SL_2(K)}$ and $u_j \in \omega(K[X_{d-1}])^{SL_2(K)}$. To complete the proof it is sufficient to see that

$$v_i y_d^n = v_i \mathrm{ad}^n x_d, \quad i \in I, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$\pi(x_d, u_j) x_d^n = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} [x_d, x_k] \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} (\mathrm{ad} X_{d-1}) \mathrm{ad}^n x_d \quad j \in J, \quad n \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let $KX_d = V' \oplus V''$, where $V' = KX_e = K\{x_1, \ldots, x_e\}$ and V'' are $SL_2(K)$-submodules of KX_d and let F_e be the Lie subalgebra of F_d generated by X_e. Then the natural projection $\nu : F_d \to F_e$ which send $x_{e+1}, \ldots, x_d$ to 0 maps $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ onto $F_e^{SL_2(K)}$.*

Proof. As a vector space $F_d = F_e \oplus \ker(\nu)$. Since both F_e and $\ker(\nu)$ are $SL_2(K)$-modules, it is clear that every $SL_2(K)$-invariant $f \in F_d$ is a sum of an $SL_2(K)$-invariant $f' \in F_e$ (which depends on the variables X_e only) and an $SL_2(K)$-invariant $f'' \in \ker(\nu)$ (and f'' is a linear combination of commutators which depend essentially on the other variables $x_{e+1}, \ldots, x_d$). Hence $\nu(F_d^{SL_2(K)}) = F_e^{SL_2(K)}$. □

Our strategy to determine whether the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is finitely generated will be the following. We shall describe the cases when $F_{k+1}^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated and $KX_{k+1} \cong V_k$ is an irreducible $SL_2(K)$-module. We shall show that this holds if and only if $k > 2$. In view of the above Lemma 3.2, this will imply that $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is never finitely generated if KX_d contains a submodule V_k, $k > 2$. By similar arguments we shall eliminate the cases when KX_d contains a submodule isomorphic to $V_2 \oplus V_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2$, and $V_1 \oplus V_1$. In all other cases, namely when $d = 3$ and $KX_d = V_2$ or $KX_d = V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$, we shall show that $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is finitely generated.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $d = k+1$ and $KX_{k+1} \cong V_k$, $k \geq 3$. Then the algebra $F_{k+1}^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated.*

Proof. The group $SL_2(K)$ does not fix any nonzero element of V_k because $k \geq 3$, If $k \geq 4$ we use Proposition 2.5 which gives that the transcendence degree of $K[X_{k+1}]^{SL_2(K)}$ is equal to $k-2 \geq 2$ and by Proposition 2.13 the algebra $F_{k+1}^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated. If $k = 3$ we shall apply Lemma 2.12. Since the transcendence degree of $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)} \neq K$ is equal to 1 and $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)} \neq K$, it is sufficient to show that $F_4^{SL_2(K)} \neq 0$. A nonzero element in $F_4^{SL_2(K)}$ is given in Example 2.10. □

Remark 3.4. We may give a constructive proof of Lemma 3.3. Example 2.10 provides a nonzero element in $F_{k+1}^{SL_2(K)}$ for $k \geq 3$ odd. In order to apply Lemma 2.12 we need an $SL_2(K)$-invariant polynomial of positive degree. For this purpose we may take the discriminant. Recall that if $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_k$ are the zeros of the polynomial $f(t) = \xi_0 t^k + \xi_1 t^{k-1} + \cdots + \xi_{k-1} t + \xi_k$, then the discriminant

$$\xi_0^{2k-2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2$$

of $f(t)$ is an $SL_2(K)$-invariant polynomial of degree $2(k-1)$ in $K[V_k] = K[X_{k+1}]$. For $k > 3$ even we may choose one more explicit $SL_2(K)$-invariant of second degree in $K[X_{k+1}]$, namely the element Example 2.10. Then we may produce a nonzero element in $F_{k+1}^{SL_2(K)}$ applying Proposition 2.3.

Lemma 3.5. *Let*

$$KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0,$$

where V_1 and $V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$ have bases X_2 and $\{x_3, \ldots, x_d\}$, respectively. Then the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is generated by $[x_2, x_1]$ and $\{x_3, \ldots, x_d\}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on d applying Proposition 3.1 in each step. For $d = 2$ we have that $K[X_2]^{SL_2(K)} = K[V_1]^{SL_2(K)} = K$. Since as a $GL_2(K)$-module F_2' decomposes as a direct sum of $\det \otimes V_n$, $n \geq 0$, we obtain that $F_2^{SL_2}$ is the one-dimensional vector space spanned by the commutator $[x_2, x_1]$. By induction, we assume that the Lie algebra $F_{d-1}^{SL_2(K)}$ is generated by $[x_2, x_1], x_3, \ldots, x_{d-1}$ and has a basis consisting of all commutators

$$[x_2, x_1]\mathrm{ad}^{n_3} x_3 \cdots \mathrm{ad}^{n_{d-1}} x_{d-1}, \quad n_i \geq 0, \quad i = 3, \ldots, d-1,$$

containing $[x_2, x_1]$ and all commutators

$$[x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_n}], \quad i_1 > i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n, \quad i_j = 3, \ldots, d-1, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots.$$

The vector space of the $SL_2(K)$-invariants in the augmentation ideal of $K[X_{d-1}]$ has a basis consisting of all monomials in $x_3, \ldots, x_{d-1}$. Now Proposition 3.1 implies that the $SL_2(K)$-invariants in F_d' are spanned by the elements $[x_2, x_1]\mathrm{ad}^{n_3} x_3 \cdots \mathrm{ad}^{n_d} x_d$ and the commutators of length ≥ 2 in $x_3, \ldots, x_d$. Since $x_3, \ldots, x_d$ belong to $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$, this implies that $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is generated by $[x_2, x_1]$ and $\{x_3, \ldots, x_d\}$. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $d = 3$ and $KX_3 \cong V_2$, Then $F_3^{SL_2(K)} = 0$.*

Proof. In [5, Example 3.2] we have computed the Hilbert series of the algebra $F_3^{UT_2(K)}$:

$$H_{GL_2}(F_3^{UT_2(K)}, t_1, t_2, z) = t_1^2 z + \frac{t_1^3 t_2 z^2}{(1 - t_1^2 z)(1 - t_1 t_2 z)}$$

$$= t_1^2 z + \frac{t_1 (t_1 t_2)^2 z^2}{(1 - t_1^2 z)(1 - t_1 t_2 z)} = t^2 z + \frac{t u^2 z^2}{(1 - t^2 z)(1 - uz)},$$

where $t = t_1$, $u = t_1 t_2$. Since the Hilbert series of $F_3^{SL_2(K)}$ is obtained from the Hilbert series of $F_3^{UT_2(K)}$ substituting $t = 0$ and $u = 1$, we obtain that $H(F_3^{SL_2(K)}, z) = 0$, and hence $F_3^{SL_2(K)} = 0$. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_1, V_2 \oplus V_0, V_2 \oplus V_1$ or $V_2 \oplus V_2$. Then $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated.*

Proof. If $KX_d \cong V_i \oplus V_j$, we assume that V_i and V_j have bases $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{i+1}\}$ and $\{x_{i+2}, \ldots, x_{i+j+2}\}$, respectively. First, we shall construct nonzero elements in $(F_d')^{SL_2(K)}$.

(i) Let $d = 4$ and $KX_4 \cong V_1 \oplus V_1$. Consider the element

$$v_{11} = [x_1, x_4] - [x_2, x_3] \in F_4.$$

Applying Remark 2.9 we see that $g_1(v_{11}) = g_2(v_{11}) = v_{11}$. Hence $v_{11} \in F_4^{SL_2(K)}$.

(ii) Let $d = 4$ and $KX_4 \cong V_2 \oplus V_0$. By Example 2.6 the element $f = x_2^2 - x_1x_3$ belongs to $K[X_3]^{SL_2(K)} = K[V_2]^{SL_2(K)}$. Since x_4 is an $SL_2(K)$-invariant, applying Proposition 3.1 we obtain the nonzero element

$$v_{20} = \pi(x_4, f) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} [x_4, x_k] \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(\mathrm{ad}X_3)$$

$$= 2[x_4, x_2, x_2] - [x_4, x_1, x_3] - [x_4, x_3, x_1] \in (F_4')^{SL_2(K)}.$$

(iii) Let $d = 5$ and $KX_5 \cong V_2 \oplus V_1$. Then the simplest nonzero $SL_2(K)$-invariant is

$$v_{21} = [x_4, x_5] \in F_5^{SL_2(K)}.$$

(iv) Let $d = 6$ and $KX_6 \cong V_2 \oplus V_2$. Using the element

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \binom{2}{i} (-1)^i \xi_i \otimes \xi_{2-i} = \xi_0 \otimes \xi_2 - 2\xi_1 \otimes \xi_1 + \xi_2 \otimes \xi_0 \in V_2 \otimes V_2$$

from Example 2.10 we can obtain the nonzero $SL_2(K)$-invariant

$$v_{22} = [x_1, x_6] - 2[x_2, x_5] + [x_3, x_4] \in F_6^{SL_2(K)}.$$

By Example 2.6 in all four cases we have $SL_2(K)$-invariants of positive degree in $K[X_d]$. In (i) this is $f = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$ and in (ii)–(iv) – $f = x_2^2 - x_1x_3$. Since $KX_d^{SL_2(K)} = 0$ in the cases (i), (iii) and (iv), by Lemma 2.12 we conclude that the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated.

Let us assume that in the case (ii) the algebra $F_4^{SL_2(K)}$ is finitely generated. Since $KX_4^{SL_2(K)} = Kx_4$, this implies that $F_4^{SL_2(K)}$ is generated by x_4 and a finite number of elements $u_1, \dots, u_k \in (F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$. Hence $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ is spanned by

$$u_i \mathrm{ad}^n x_4, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad n \geq 0.$$

But $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ contains the infinite sequence of elements

$$v_{22}(\mathrm{ad}^2 x_2 - \mathrm{ad}x_1 \mathrm{ad}x_3)^m, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and for sufficiently large m these elements cannot be expressed as linear combinations of $u_i \mathrm{ad}^n x_4$. Again, $F_4^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated. □

The following theorem is one of the main results of the paper.

Theorem 3.8. *Let KX_d be isomorphic as an $SL_2(K)$-module to the direct sum $V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$, where $(k_1, \dots, k_r) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ and let $F_d = F_d(\mathfrak{A}^2)$ be the d-generated free metabelian Lie algebra. Then the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ of $SL_2(K)$-invariants is finitely generated if and only if $KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$ or $KX_d \cong V_2$.*

Proof. Let $KX_d \cong V_{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{k_r}$. We may assume that $k_1 \geq \cdots \geq k_r$. By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is finitely generated in the cases $KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_0$ and $KX_d \cong V_2$. Hence we have to show that in the cases (i) $k_1 \geq 3$; (ii) $k_1 = 2$, $r \geq 2$; (iii) $k_1 = k_2 = 1$ the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated. Applying Lemma 3.2 we reduce the considerations to the following three cases:

(i′) $KX_d \cong V_k$, $k \geq 3$. By Lemma 3.3 the algebra $F_d^{SL_2(K)}$ is not finitely generated.

(ii′) $KX_d \cong V_2 \oplus V_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. Now we apply Lemma 3.7.

(iii′) $KX_d \cong V_1 \oplus V_1$. We apply Lemma 3.7 again and complete the proof of the theorem. □

4. Explicit invariants in small dimensions

In this section we compute the explicit generators of the finitely generated $K[X_d]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F'_d)^{SL_2(K)}$ for $d \leq 5$ and for $d = 6$ when $KX_6 \cong V_2 \oplus V_2$ and $KX_6 \cong V_1 \oplus V_1 \oplus V_1$. We omit the cases containing V_0 as a component of KX_d by Proposition 3.1. In what follows, the Hilbert series are obtained from [5, Example 3.2] and the generators and the relations between them from [5, Section 5], taking into account the homogeneous components of bidegree (n, n) only.

Example 4.1. (i) Let $d = 3$ and $KX_3 \cong V_2$. In this case we have from Lemma 3.6 that

$$H((F'_3)^{SL_2(K)}, z) = 0,$$

and the algebra $(F'_3)^{SL_2(K)}$ does not have nonzero elements. On the other hand the only $SL_2(K)$-invariant of polynomial algebra is $f = x_1x_3 - x_2^2$, and

$$H(K[X_3]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^2}.$$

(ii) Let $d = 4$ and $KX_4 \cong V_3$. Then

$$H((F'_4)^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{z^2}{1 - z^4} \quad \text{and} \quad H(K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^4}.$$

The $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F'_4)^{SL_2(K)}$ has one generator of degree 2. It is

$$v = [x_4, x_1] - 3[x_3, x_2],$$

and the single generator of $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$ is

$$f = x_1^2x_4^2 - 6x_1x_2x_3x_4 + 4x_1x_3^3 + 4x_2^3x_4 - 3x_2^2x_3^2.$$

(iii) Let $d = 4$ and $KX_4 \cong V_1 \oplus V_1$. We have a nonzero $SL_2(K)$-invariant in $(F'_4)^{SL_2(K)}$ by Lemma 3.7. Now let us find all of the generators of finitely generated $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F'_4)^{SL_2(K)}$. By computations using the suggested method we have

$$H((F'_4)^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{3z^2}{1 - z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad H(K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^2}.$$

The free generators of the $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F'_4)^{SL_2(K)}$ are as follows:

$$v_1 = [x_4, x_1] - [x_3, x_2], \quad v_2 = [x_2, x_1] \quad \text{and} \quad v_3 = [x_4, x_3].$$

The single generator of $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$ is $f = x_1x_4 - x_2x_3$.

(iv) Let $d = 5$ and $KX_5 \cong V_4$. Then

$$H((F'_5)^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{z^5}{(1 - z^2)(1 - z^3)},$$

and

$$H(K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{1}{(1 - z^2)(1 - z^3)}.$$

The only generator of the $K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F'_5)^{SL_2(K)}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} v = {} & [x_2, x_1] \cdot (12x_4^3 + 4x_2x_5^2 - 16x_3x_4x_5) + [x_3, x_1] \cdot (-2x_1x_5^2 + 18x_3^2x_5 - 18x_3x_4^2 + 4x_2x_4x_5) \\ & + [x_3, x_2] \cdot (16x_2x_4^2 - 18x_2x_3x_5) + [x_4, x_1] \cdot (-4x_2x_4^2 + 12x_3^2x_4 + 4x_1x_4x_5 - 16x_2x_3x_5) \\ & + [x_4, x_2] \cdot (-8x_2x_3x_4 + 12x_2^2x_5) + [x_5, x_1] \cdot (x_1x_3x_5 - 9x_3^3 - 3x_1x_4^2 - x_2^2x_5 + 14x_2x_3x_4) \end{aligned}$$

$$+[x_5,x_2]\cdot(-8x_2^2x_4+6x_2x_3^2),$$

where $w\cdot p(X_n)=wp(\mathrm{ad}X_n)$ for $w\in F_5'$ and $p(X_n)\in K[X_n]$. The generators of $K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)}$ are

$$f_1=x_1x_5-4x_2x_4+3x_3^2,$$

and

$$f_2=-x_1x_3x_5-2x_2x_3x_4+x_3^3+x_1x_4^2+x_2^2x_5.$$

(v) Let $d=5$ and $KX_5\cong V_2\oplus V_1$. Then

$$H((F_5')^{SL_2(K)},z)=\frac{z^2+z^3+z^4+z^5}{(1-z^2)(1-z^3)},$$

and

$$H(K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)},z)=\frac{1}{(1-z^2)(1-z^3)}.$$

The four generators of the $K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F_5')^{SL_2(K)}$ are

$$v_1=[x_5,x_4],$$

$$v_2=[x_4,x_2]\cdot x_5-[x_4,x_3]\cdot x_4-[x_5,x_1]\cdot x_5+[x_5,x_2]\cdot x_4,$$

$$v_3=[x_4,x_1]\cdot x_3x_5-[x_4,x_2]\cdot x_3x_4+[x_4,x_3]\cdot(x_2x_4-x_1x_5)-[x_5,x_1]\cdot x_2x_5+[x_5,x_2]\cdot x_1x_5,$$

$$v_4=-[x_4,x_1]\cdot x_3^2x_4+2[x_4,x_2]\cdot x_2x_3x_4-[x_4,x_3]\cdot x_1x_3x_4+[x_5,x_1]\cdot(2x_2x_3x_4-x_1x_3x_5)$$
$$+[x_5,x_2]\cdot(2x_1x_2x_5-4x_2^2x_4)+[x_5,x_3]\cdot(2x_1x_2x_4-x_1^2x_5)+[x_5,x_4]\cdot(2x_2^3-2x_1x_2x_3).$$

Note that the first generator was suggested in Lemma 3.7. The generators of $K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)}$ are

$$f_1=x_2^2-x_1x_3\quad\text{and}\quad f_2=x_1x_5^2-2x_2x_4x_5+x_3x_4^2.$$

(vi) Let $d=6$ and $KX_6\cong V_1\oplus V_1\oplus V_1$. Then

$$H((F_6')^{SL_2(K)},z)=\frac{6z^2-z^6}{(1-z^2)^3},$$

and

$$H(K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)},z)=\frac{1}{(1-z^2)^3}.$$

The generators of the $K[X_6]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F_6')^{SL_2(K)}$ are

$$v_1=[x_2,x_1],\quad v_2=[x_4,x_3],\quad v_3=[x_6,x_5],\quad v_4=[x_4,x_1]-[x_3,x_2],$$
$$v_5=[x_6,x_1]-[x_5,x_2],\quad\text{and}\quad v_6=[x_6,x_3]-[x_5,x_4].$$

The generators of $K[X_6]^{SL_2(K)}$ are

$$f_1=x_1x_4-x_2x_3,\quad f_2=x_1x_6-x_2x_5,\quad\text{and}\quad f_3=x_3x_6-x_4x_5.$$

There is a relation of degree 6 between the generators:

$$v_1\cdot f_3^2+v_2\cdot f_2^2+v_3\cdot f_1^2-v_4\cdot f_2f_3+v_5\cdot f_1f_3-v_6\cdot f_1f_2=0.$$

(vii) Let $d=6$ and $KX_6\cong V_2\oplus V_2$. Then

$$H((F_6')^{SL_2(K)},z)=\frac{z^2+2z^3+3z^4-z^6}{(1-z^2)^3},$$

and

$$H(K[X_5]^{SL_2(K)},z)=\frac{1}{(1-z^2)^3}.$$

The generators of the $K[X_6]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F_6')^{SL_2(K)}$, the first one was obtained in Lemma 3.7, are

$$v_1 = [x_6, x_1] - 2[x_5, x_2] + [x_4, x_3],$$
$$v_2 = [x_2, x_1] \cdot x_6 - [x_3, x_1] \cdot x_5 + [x_3, x_2] \cdot x_4,$$
$$v_3 = [x_6, x_1] \cdot x_5 - [x_5, x_1] \cdot x_6 + [x_4, x_2] \cdot x_6 - [x_4, x_3] \cdot x_5 - [x_6, x_2] \cdot x_4 + [x_5, x_3] \cdot x_4,$$
$$\begin{aligned} v_4 = {} & [x_3, x_1] \cdot x_5^2 + [x_6, x_1] \cdot (2x_2x_5 - x_1x_6) - [x_4, x_3] \cdot x_3x_4 - 2[x_2, x_1] \cdot x_5x_6 \\ & + [x_5, x_1] \cdot (2x_2x_6 - 2x_3x_5) + [x_4, x_2] \cdot (2x_3x_5 - x_2x_6) \\ & + [x_5, x_2] \cdot (2x_3x_4 - 2x_2x_5) - 2[x_3, x_2] \cdot x_4x_5 - [x_6, x_2] \cdot x_2x_4, \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} v_5 = {} & [x_3, x_1] \cdot (x_1x_6 - 2x_2x_5) + [x_6, x_1] \cdot (x_2^2 - x_1x_3) + [x_2, x_1] \cdot (2x_3x_5 - 2x_2x_6) \\ & + 2[x_5, x_1] \cdot x_2x_3 + [x_4, x_2] \cdot x_2x_3 - 2[x_5, x_2] \cdot x_2^2 + [x_3, x_2] \cdot x_2x_4 - [x_4, x_1] \cdot x_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_6 = {} & [x_6, x_1] \cdot x_4x_6 + [x_4, x_3] \cdot x_4x_6 - 2[x_5, x_1] \cdot x_5x_6 - 2[x_4, x_2] \cdot x_5x_6 + 4[x_5, x_2] \cdot x_5^2 \\ & - 2[x_6, x_2] \cdot x_4x_5 - 2[x_5, x_3] \cdot x_4x_5 + [x_4, x_1] \cdot x_6^2 + [x_6, x_3] \cdot x_4^2, \end{aligned}$$

while the generators of $K[X_6]^{SL_2(K)}$ are

$$f_1 = x_1x_3 - x_2^2, \quad f_2 = x_1x_6 - 2x_2x_5 + x_3x_4, \quad \text{and} \quad f_3 = x_4x_6 - x_5^2,$$

with a relation between the generators:

$$v_4 \cdot f_2 + v_5 \cdot f_3 - v_6 \cdot f_1 + v_1 \cdot (f_2^2 + f_1f_3) = 0.$$

Now we explain one of the cases in detail.

Example 4.2. Let $d = 4$ and $KX_4 \cong V_3$. Then we have the Hilbert series of the algebras $(F_4')^{UT_2(K)}$ and $K[X_4]^{UT_2(K)}$ from [5, Example 5.2] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GL_2}((F_4')^{UT_2(K)}, t_1, t_2, z) &= \frac{t_1^3t_2z^2(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_1^4t_2^4z^2 + t_1^5t_2^6z^3 - t_1^8t_2^6z^4)}{(1 - t_1^3z)(1 - t_1^2t_2z)(1 - t_1^6t_2^6z^4)} \\ &= \frac{t^4uz^2 + u^3z^2 + t^2u^5z^4 + tu^7z^5 - t^4u^7z^6}{(1 - t^3z)(1 - tuz)(1 - u^6z^4)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{GL_2}(K[X_4]^{UT_2(K)}, t_1, t_2, z) &= \frac{1 + t_1^6t_2^3z^3}{(1 - t_1^3z)(1 - t_1^4t_2^2z^2)(1 - t_1^6t_2^6z^4)} \\ &= \frac{1 + t^3u^3z^3}{(1 - t^3z)(1 - t^2u^2z^2)(1 - u^6z^4)} \end{aligned}$$

where $t = t_1$, $u = t_1t_2$. We know that Hilbert series of $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ and of $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$ are obtained from the Hilbert series above, respectively, substituting $t = 0$ and $u = 1$. Note that the degree of u gives the information of symmetric bidegrees of the homogeneous generators. Thus we have

$$H((F_4')^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{z^2}{1 - z^4} \quad \text{and} \quad H(K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}, z) = \frac{1}{1 - z^4}.$$

The Hilbert series suggest that the $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ has one generator of bidegree $(3, 3)$ and degree 2, and $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$ is generated by a generator of bidegree $(6, 6)$ and degree 4. A candidate for a generator of $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$ is of the form

$$f = c_1x_1^2x_4^2 + c_2x_1x_2x_3x_4 + c_3x_1x_3^3 + c_4x_2^3x_4 + c_5x_2^2x_3^2.$$

Since it is $SL_2(K)$-invariant, then it is preserved under the actions of g_1 and g_2. Easy computations give that $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5) = (1, -6, 4, 4, -3)$. We follow the

similar argument as in the previous case to obtain the generator of the $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-module $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ of the form

$$v = d_1[x_4, x_1] + d_2[x_3, x_2],$$

and find that $(d_1, d_2) = (1, -3)$.

On the other hand, the Hilbert series of $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-submodule of $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ generated by $v = [x_4, x_1] - 3[x_3, x_2]$ is equal to the Hilbert series of whole algebra. Thus $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ is a free cyclic $K[X_4]^{SL_2(K)}$-module generated by v. As a vector space $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ is spanned by the elements $v \cdot f^n$, $n \geq 0$, forming an infinite set of generators of $(F_4')^{SL_2(K)}$ as an algebra.

Acknowledgements

The second named author is very thankful to the Institute of Mathematics and Informatics of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences for the creative atmosphere and the warm hospitality during his visit as a post-doctoral fellow when part of this project was carried out. The first named author is grateful to Leonid Bedratyuk for the useful discussions on classical invariant theory.

References

[1] G. Almkvist, W. Dicks, E. Formanek, *Hilbert series of fixed free algebras and noncommutative classical invariant theory*, J. Algebra **93** (1985), 189-214.

[2] Yu. A. Bahturin, *Identical Relations in Lie Algebras* (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1985. Translation: VNU Science Press, Utrecht, 1987.

[3] F. Benanti, S. Boumova, V. Drensky, G. K. Genov, P. Koev, *Computing with rational symmetric functions and applications to invariant theory and PI-algebras*, Serdica Math. J. **38** (2012), 137-188. http://www.math.bas.bg/serdica/2012/2012-137-188.pdf.

[4] R. M. Bryant, *On the fixed points of a finite group acting on a free Lie algebra*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1991), 215-224.

[5] R. Dangovski, V. Drensky, Ş. Fındık, *Weitzenböck derivations of free metabelian Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. **439** (2013), No. 10, 3279-3296.

[6] C. De Concini, D. Eisenbud, C. Procesi, *Young diagrams and determinantal varieties*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 129-165.

[7] M. Domokos, V. Drensky, *A Hilbert-Nagata theorem in noncommutative invariant theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 2797-2811.

[8] V. Drensky, *Fixed algebras of residually nilpotent Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 1021-1028.

[9] V. Drensky, *Commutative and noncommutative invariant theory*, Math. and Education in Math., Proc. of the 24-th Spring Conf. of the Union of Bulgar. Mathematicians, Svishtov, April 4-7, 1995, Sofia, 1995, 14-50.

[10] V. Drensky, C. K. Gupta, *Constants of Weitzenböck derivations and invariants of unipotent transformations acting on relatively free algebras*, J. Algebra **292** (2005), 393-428.

[11] R. Ehrenborg, G.-C. Rota, *Apolarity and canonical forms for homogeneous polynomials*, Eur. J. Comb. **14** (1993), 157-181.

[12] E. B. Elliott, *On linear homogeneous diophantine equations*, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1903), 348-377.

[13] E. Formanek, *Noncommutative invariant theory*, Contemp. Math. **43** (1985), 87-119.

[14] P. Gordan, *Vorlesungen über Invariantentheorie*, Herausgegeben von G. Kerschensteiner, Zweiter Band: Binare Formen, Teubner, Leipzig, 1887. Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1987.

[15] D. Hilbert, Über die vollen Invariantensysteme, Math. Ann. **42** (1893), 313-373. Reprinted in Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Band II: Algebra. Invariantentheorie. Geometrie, 282-344, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[16] C. G. J. Jacobi, *De determinantibus functionalibus*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **22** (1841), 319-359. Translation: *Ueber die Functionaldeterminanten*, Ostwald's Klassiker d. exacten Wissensch. **78**, Leipzig, W. Engelmann, 1896.
[17] O. G. Kharlampovich, M. V. Sapir, *Algorithmic problems in varieties*, Intern. J. Algebra and Computation **5** (1995), 379-602.
[18] A. I. Kostrikin, *The Burnside problem* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **23** (1959), 3-34. Translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **36** (1964), 63-99.
[19] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press (Clarendon), Oxford, 1979, Second Edition, 1995.
[20] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, vols. 1 and 2, Cambridge Univ. Press. 1915, 1916. Reprinted in one volume: Chelsea, New York, 1960.
[21] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Umirbaev, *The Freiheitssatz for Poisson algebras*, J. Algebra **328** (2011), 495-503.
[22] I. P. Shestakov, U. U. Umirbaev, *Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), 181-196.
[23] A. L. Shmel'kin, *Wreath products of Lie algebras and their application in the theory of groups* (Russian), Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **29** (1973), 247-260. Translation: Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **29** (1973), 239-252.
[24] T. A. Springer, *Invariant Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **585**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
[25] R. M. Thrall, *On symmetrized Kronecker powers and the structure of the free Lie ring*, Amer. J. Math. **64** (1942), 371-388.
[26] N. Vonessen, *Actions of Linearly Reductive Groups on Affine PI-Algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **414**, 1989.
[27] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups, Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton Mathematical Series **1**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1946. Reprint in Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, 1997.
[28] E. I. Zel'manov, *On Engel Lie algebras* (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **29** (1988), No. 5, 112-117. Translation: Siberian Math. J. **29** (1988), 777-781.

Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
E-mail address: drensky@math.bas.bg

Department of Mathematics, Çukurova University, 01330 Balcali, Adana, Turkey
E-mail address: sfindik@cu.edu.tr